

Changes in Student Attitudes Towards 6 Dimensions of Digital Engagement in a Program of Game Design Learning

Rebecca Reynolds, Ph.D.

Submitted to AERA 2012 in July 2011

Accepted to AERA 2012 in October 2011

Abstract

This paper reports findings from the 2009/2010 pilot school year of Globaloria-WV. The project being piloted in WV schools as a state-wide network of game design learning and social media engagement among youth. Middle, high school and community college students enroll in a blended learning game design elective course offered daily, for 1 or 2 semesters, credit and a grade. N=386 middle and high school students for Pilot Year 3 (2009/2010 school year). Overall, pre and post program survey results partially support our hypothesis that student attitudes towards the range of practices in several categories of participant engagement are positively influenced by their experience in the program. Especially for practices representing the more Constructionist engagement categories, our pre and post-program self-report survey analysis using t-test statistics indicates increases in student motivation towards, and understanding of these practices as a result of participation. That is, their post-program motivation was greater than their pre-program motivation, indicating a measure of success in the program at meeting the stated learning objectives. For three other less-Constructionist but active technology-use categories (e.g., information seeking), the results were more varied with regard to statistically significant increases. Ceiling effects may have played a role in this; pre-survey means were higher for such practices than the more Constructionist ones. The motivational findings on their own appear to indicate the appeal of the workshop style of learning among a large enough group of participants to see statistical effects of change.

Objectives

Approaches to teaching digital literacy in the school context have focused on the importance of imparting specific technology *skills*, and have been driven by association standards, for instance the NETS technology literacy standards promoted by the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE, 2007), and the InfoPower information literacy standards promoted by the American Association of School Librarians and American Library Association (AASL, 2008). However, while earlier versions of the technology and information literacy standards tended to focus on more “Web 1.0” forms of information-seeking activity, including searching, locating, evaluating and using informational resources online, the most recent updates to both sets of standards incorporate creative technology uses, and dispositions for productivity with technology tools.

For instance, the ISTE NETS standards for students include learning objectives categories such as Standard 1, “Creativity and Innovation,” which calls for students to be able to “demonstrate creative thinking, construct knowledge, and develop innovative products and processes using technology” (ISTE NETS Standards for Students, 2007). The AASL standards exhort students to gain not just technology skills, but dispositions to use those skills, and AASL Standard 4 is entirely focused on students’ pursuit of technology and information uses for personal and aesthetic growth.

Further, the importance of involving learners in programs of creative, project-based digital work is gaining more and more credence as digital literacy, participatory culture and digital divide concerns enter the national educational agenda (e.g., Jenkins, 2009; Hobbs, 2010; Knight Commission on Information Needs of Communities in a Democracy, 2009; Mossberger, Tolbert & McNeal, 2007; National Education Technology Plan, 2010). Achieving many of these standards’ objectives could be seen to *require Constructionist interventions* (e.g., Harel & Papert, 1991).

Unfortunately, while the updated standards and national priorities for instance those mapped out in the National Education Technology Plan of 2010 reflect significant advances in policy guidelines addressing technology integration for learning in schools, the reality is that actual implementation of substantive technology-based interventions in public schools nation-wide is still relatively rare.

This paper reports findings from the 2009/2010 pilot school year of a digital literacy project being conducted by a non-profit in NYC, with students and educators in West Virginia, funded through grants from the WV Governors Office and a private foundation. The project is a 7-year state-wide network of game design learning and social media engagement among youth, being piloted in WV schools. Middle, high school and community college students enroll in a blended learning game design elective course offered daily, for 1 or 2 semesters, credit and a grade. N=386 middle and high school students for Pilot Year 3 (2009/2010 school year).

ISTE and ALA standards and recommendations offer considerable synergy with the Constructionist approaches adopted in this state-wide network of game design learning. A non-profit provides students and teachers digital learning supports via a wiki-based social media platform called MyGLife.org, in-person twice-annual teacher training, and ongoing virtual webinars with students and teachers. Individual students interact with each other, teachers, and content resources on the wiki and in class, following a blended learning curriculum. They build games in Flash, constructing a portfolio of project-based work on wiki “Team Pages,” layering design documents, game assets, links, embedded game presentation videos, intra-group communications and final game files.

Here we investigate changes in student attitudes towards technology among participants as a result of engaging in the game design class in West Virginia. Results indicate important shifts in dispositions that we expect support our study research questions regarding students’ cultivation of 6 contemporary learning abilities through their participation.

Theoretical framework

In articulating the goals for the current initiative, we advance a framework of learning objectives that guides our applied program development and research, based on previous Constructionist literature, the organization’s pilot research, and “digital literacy” scholarship. We propose that the 6 Contemporary Learning Abilities with Technology (6-CLAs) emerge through game design in the WV program, and these dimensions prepare students for effective practice in today’s knowledge economies and digital participatory cultures:

1. Invention, progression, and completion of an original digital project idea (educational game or simulation)
2. Digital project-based learning and project management (in wiki-based, networked environment)
3. Posting, publishing and distribution of digital media (designs, videos, graphics, notes, and games)
4. Social-media-based learning, participation, and exchange (ideas, process notes, code)
5. Information-based learning, research, purposeful search and exploration
6. Surfing, analyzing, and experimenting with web services and applications

Reynolds & Harel (2009) and Harel Caperton (2010) establish the bases for this framework. CLAs 1, 2 and 3 are particularly reflective of Constructionist influence. By developing these *abilities*, we hypothesize that participants cultivate a new variation of “Constructionist Digital Literacy.” The Six CLAs serve as the learning objectives, outcome goals, and drivers for the continued program design and curriculum decisions made in iteratively developing the program.

Given these objectives, we expect to see development across all categories among student participants. This paper addresses the following research question.

- To what extent does students’ participation contribute to changes in student technology habits, attitudes, and understanding, as they relate to a range of practices in which they participate across the 6-CLA categories?

Knowledge tests of the 6-CLAs are still in development. For instance, Reynolds (2011) has generated a content analysis approach to measure game design learning among individual and team game designers, through analysis of student final artifacts as a culminating knowledge product that is used as a dependent variable in several ongoing studies.

Here, we focus on student attitudes and dispositions as they relate to each CLA category. Understanding student attitude shifts towards technology as a result of participation adds to the validity of the 6-CLAs model as a set of distinct factors, and supports the evidence base for the program’s achievement of its learning objectives. Further, understanding patterns of such shifts can help us identify new hypotheses regarding contribution of attitude shifts, towards measured learning outcomes, and such variables’ use in multi-level analysis also underway.

Methods

A full paper will provide expansive program implementation details. Data sources for the attitudinal results are as follows:

- Pre-program student survey data
- Post-program student survey data
- Educator progress reports bearing official student lists
- Course wiki for verification

Pre and post-program surveys were conducted online in August of 2009, January of 2010, and May/June 2010, depending on student participation modality (first semester only, second semester only, or full year). Links were distributed to students via each pilot location wiki, with educator administration. Educators were strongly encouraged to introduce the voluntary surveys prior and subsequent to student engagement with the program, with follow-through by non-profit staff to monitor completion. Research was conducted with full parental consent and child assent, and IRB approval.

Out of 386 middle and high school student participants, a total of 368 completed the pre-survey (95%), and 277 completed the post-survey (72%). Findings reported here reflect those who completed both pre and post.

Table 1. N of students by location, for the following variables: Gender, semester start, survey N, average participation months

School	N of Students	Female	Male	Semester 1 Start	Semester 2 Start	Pre-Survey N	Post-Survey N	Avg. Partic. Months
--------	---------------	--------	------	------------------	------------------	--------------	---------------	---------------------

Braxton County High School	14	8	6	0	14	13	10	4
Bridgeport Middle School	16	7	9		16	16	15	4
Capital High School	33	13	20	33	0	31	22	9
Eastern Greenbrier Middle School	20	4	16	20	0	19	18	9
Greenbrier East High School	43	8	35	43	0	42	28	9
Greenbrier West High School	9	5	4	9	0	9	5	9
Liberty High School	15	2	13	15	0	15	12	9
Man High School	12	6	6	1	11	10	8	4.5
Oak Glen High School	20	10	10		20	20	13	4
Randolph Technical Center	28	8	20	18	9	25	18	4.2
Riverside High School	36	16	20		36	34	28	4
Sandy River Middle School	26	14	12	26	0	25	25	9
South Harrison High School	15	2	13	14	1	14	12	9
Spring Valley High School	76	8	68	76	0	73	48	8.5
Wheeling Park High School	10	2	8	10	0	10	9	9
Woodrow Wilson High School	13	4	9		13	12	6	4
	386	117	269	265	120	368	277	

Non-experimental pre/post design

Within the overall design-based research project we have undertaken, this study employed a non-experimental pre/post survey design to measure change in student attitudes towards a set of activities inherent to the intervention. The surveys included two types of self-report measure for each contemporary learning ability: *enjoyment*, and *knowledge*. See Appendix A for the specific items applied for each. Our full paper will provide more detail on the operationalization and the constructs’ theoretical links and connection to the intervention. We applied factor analysis to the pre-program survey items representing each CLA category. Results supported the relatedness of the individual items used to identify each of the CLA factors, with items specified in the Appendix hanging together for CLAs 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (with eigenvalues >1). For CLA 2, across the dimensions of self-reported frequency, motivation and knowledge, the factor analysis results indicated 2 sub-factors (*creating with digital media* and *collaborating with team members online* separately). Therefore we defined this as 2 separate categories. We performed additive combinations for the set of items in each CLA

category identified (five single factors, and two sub-factors) for the measures for frequency, enjoyment and understanding. Pre/post program survey t-tests were then run in each of the 6 CLA categories.

Results

Motivation, Prior to Program

Middle and high school student group means for their motivation towards practices in the less-constructionist CLAs 4 – 6 (learning with social media, information-based learning and purposeful research, and surfing the internet) appear to be higher than the group means for the more constructionist CLAs 1 – 3 (invention progression & completion, project-based learning (creating and collaborating), and publishing/distribution digital media). This result was expected, since CLAs 1 – 3 reflect practices that are more complex, constructionist and project-based, representing activities, which most students have not experienced prior to participating. Also, these activities are more effortful, and thus may be perceived as less enjoyable.

Motivation, From Pre to Post

Our pre and post-program self-report survey analysis using t-test statistics indicates that *middle school students* report statistically significant increases in their enjoyment of program activities within all CLA dimensions 1 – 3, but not 4 - 6. It appears that participation positively influenced middle school students’ enjoyment of the more Constructionist dimensions of the CLAs in particular. It is also important to note that the N for middle school students was lower than that for high school, which can affect the significance level; the group means for CLAs 4-6 trend upwards.

High school students report statistically significant increases in their enjoyment of program activities within CLA dimensions, except CLAs 5 and 6. A ceiling effect for CLAs 5 and 6 again appears to be a factor.

Table 4. Middle school students’ pre- and post-program change in motivation towards (enjoyment of) practices in the 6 CLA categories

CLA #	CLA Name	Pre-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	Post-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	<i>t</i>	Statistically significant t-value?
CLA 1:	Inventing creative project ideas	1.82	1.24	2.30	1.39	-2.73	*
CLA 2:	Project-based learning and project management						
2a:	<i>Creating digital media with software</i>	1.94	0.98	2.69	1.07	-4.21	*
2b:	<i>Collaborating with team members</i>	2.54	1.32	2.61	1.12	-0.34	

CLA 3:	Publishing/distributing digital media	1.85	1.24	2.94	1.39	-4.31	*
CLA 4:	Learning with social media	2.76	1.11	2.85	1.21	-0.527	
CLA 5:	Information-based learning, research, purposeful search	2.95	1.17	2.79	1.19	0.76	
CLA 6:	Surfing websites and web applications	2.49	1.28	2.46	1.31	0.16	

Source: Pre-and Post-Program Survey, STUDENTS, Pilot Year-3

N = 57

Two-tailed statistical significance at the $p \leq .05$ level is indicated by an asterisk (*).

Survey item scale (*I enjoy ...*): 1 = Not at all true, 2 = Not usually true, 3 = Sometimes true, 4 = Usually true, 5 = Very true.

Table 5. High school students' pre- and post-program change in motivation towards (enjoyment of) practices in the 6 CLA categories

CLA #	CLA Name	Pre-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	Post-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	<i>t</i>	Statistically significant t-value?
CLA 1:	Inventing creative project ideas	2.16	1.34	2.87	1.12	-8.15	*
CLA 2:	Project-based learning and project management						
2a:	<i>Creating digital media with software</i>	2.12	1.19	2.97	1.06	-9.74	*
2b:	<i>Collaborating with team members</i>	2.16	1.16	2.99	1.23	-7.98	*
CLA 3:	Publishing/distributing digital media	1.78	1.16	2.91	1.23	-10.81	*
CLA 4:	Learning with social media	2.85	1.11	3.15	1.07	-3.67	*
CLA 5:	Information-based learning, research, purposeful search	3.86	1.13	3.80	1.07	0.71	
CLA 6:	Surfing websites and web applications	3.33	1.35	3.38	1.25	-0.51	

Source: Pre-and Post-Program Survey, STUDENTS, Pilot Year-3.

N = 208

Two-tailed statistical significance at the $p \leq .05$ level is indicated by an asterisk (*).

Survey item scale (*I enjoy ...*): 1 = Not at all true, 2 = Not usually true, 3 = Sometimes true, 4 = Usually true, 5 = Very true.

Self-Reported Knowledge Prior to Program

The pattern of means for student self-reported knowledge prior to the program across the CLA categories parallel those for enjoyment; means in the less-constructionist CLAs 4 – 6 (learning with social media, information-based learning and purposeful research, and surfing the internet) appear to be higher than the group means for the more constructionist CLAs 1 – 3 (invention progression & completion, project-based learning (creating and collaborating), and publishing/distribution digital media).

Self-Reported Knowledge From Pre to Post

Findings for *middle school students* indicate statistically significant increases in their self-reported knowledge of the program activities in CLAs 1-4.

Results for *high school students* parallel those for middle school students.

Table 6. Middle school students' pre- and post-program change in self-reported knowledge of practices in the 6 CLA categories

CLA #	CLA Name	Pre-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	Post-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	<i>t</i>	Statistically significant t-value?
CLA 1:	Inventing creative project ideas	1.88	0.85	3.05	1.16	-7.40	*
CLA 2:	Project-based learning and project management						
2a:	<i>Creating digital media with software</i>	2.11	0.87	3.25	0.98	-7.40	*
2b:	<i>Collaborating with team members</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
CLA 3:	Publishing/Distributing digital media	2.66	1.01	3.77	0.85	-7.29	*
CLA 4:	Learning with social media	3.40	1.12	3.63	1.00	-2.34	*
CLA 5&6:	Surfing websites and web applications, Information-based learning, research, purposeful search	4.49	0.93	3.80	0.94	-1.09	

Source: Pre-and Post-Program Survey, STUDENTS, Pilot Year-3.

N = 54

Two-tailed statistical significance at the $p \leq .05$ level is indicated by an asterisk (*).

Survey item scale (*How familiar are you with the following terms and activities?*): 1 = None, 2 = Little, 3 = Some, 4 = Good, 5 = Full.

Table 7. High school students' pre- and post-program change in self-reported knowledge of practices in the 6 CLA categories

CLA #	CLA Name	Pre-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	Post-Survey Mean	Std Dev.	<i>t</i>	Statistically significant t-value?
CLA 1:	Inventing creative project ideas	2.22	1.15	3.14	1.05	-10.85	*
CLA 2:	Project-based learning and project management						
2a:	<i>Creating Digital Media with software</i>	2.43	1.08	3.26	0.97	-11.00	*
2b:	<i>Collaborating with Team Members</i>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
CLA 3:	Publishing/Distributing Digital Media	3.20	1.07	3.83	0.93	-7.89	*
CLA 4:	Learning with social media	3.80	1.01	3.96	0.93	-2.33	*
CLA 5&6:	Surfing websites and web applications, Information-based learning, research, purposeful search	4.08	0.90	4.12	0.91	-0.69	

Source: Pre-and Post-Program Survey, STUDENTS, Pilot Year-3.

N = 210

Two-tailed statistical significance at the $p \leq .05$ level is indicated by an asterisk (*).

Survey item scale (*How familiar are you with the following terms and activities?*): 1 = None, 2 = Little, 3 = Some, 4 = Good, 5 = Full.

Discussion

Overall, the pre and post program survey results partially support our hypothesis that student attitudes towards the range of practices in each CLA category are positively influenced by their participation in the game design program. Especially for practices

representing the more Constructionist CLAs 1-3, our pre and post-program self-report survey analysis using t-test statistics indicates increases in student motivation towards, and understanding of these practices as a result of participation. That is, their post-program engagement was greater than their pre-program engagement for the practices within CLA categories 1-3, indicating a measure of success in the program at meeting the stated learning objectives.

For CLAs 4-6, the results were more varied with regard to statistically significant increases. Ceiling effects may have played a role in this; pre-survey means were higher for practices in CLA categories 4-6 than 1-3.

The motivational findings on their own appear to indicate the appeal of the workshop style of learning among a large enough group of participants to see statistical effects of change. Future research should compare participant change to change in a matched-case set of control students to validate the causal linkage of participation. This program is unique in its focus on project-based game design learning among students, therefore increases for attitudes towards the practices representing CLA categories 1-3 are notable as they may indicate a likelihood for repeat engagement.

Further, Reynolds & Harel Caperton (2011) discuss the discovery-based context for the learning occurring in the program, noting cases in qualitative mid-survey questionnaire, in which students convey a positive affect in particular towards autonomy afforded in the class, and express importance and value for the core program activities presented (whereas others express frustration in this regard). Reynolds (2011) follows up to report statistically significant findings in a regression model indicating that a student's intrinsic motivational disposition is positively correlated to student knowledge outcomes in this context as measured by evaluation of student artifacts, whereas an extrinsic motivational disposition is negatively correlated to knowledge outcomes in this discovery-based context.

The motivational and self-reported knowledge results reported here address specific domains of activity. Given Reynolds (2011) results, it may be that core motivational disposition has a moderating effect on this attitude shift, which warrants further exploration. Also, the attitude shifts explored here may also be contributing factors to student knowledge outcomes. Activity-specific motivation can be included in future regression / hierarchical linear models exploring outcome contributors.

Seely Brown (2005) states that, "today's students want to create and learn at the same time. They want to pull content into use immediately. They want it situated and actionable - all aspects of learning-to-be, which is also an identity-forming activity. This path bridges the gap between knowledge and knowing" (p. 6). This program embodies a workshop model and the attitudinal findings indicate that for some students, this program is offering a new mode of engagement in the school setting that supports Seely Brown's (2005) claims of appeal and resonance of such programs. The results here add to the evidence base for the program's effectiveness. More research is needed to situate the

motivation results here in the larger research agenda of the initiative, and continue advancing the validity of the research.

APPENDIX A

Survey Variable Composites: CLAs

CLA 6

Survey Items for *MOTIVATION* (as operationalized by Ryan, R. M., Mims, V., & Koestner, R. 1983)

CLA 6. Surfing websites and web applications How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very true
Activities
I enjoy surfing online for fun.

Survey Items for *KNOWLEDGE* (as operationalized by Hargittai, 2005)

CLA 6. Surfing websites and web applications How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities
Internet Explorer

CLA 5

Survey Items for *MOTIVATION*

CLA 5. Information-based learning, purposeful search and exploration How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very true
Activities (1 Factor)
Searching for and using online resources when I think of a question about something. Searching for and using tutorials and online resources to help with digital design projects.

Survey Items for *KNOWLEDGE*

CLA 5. Information-based learning, purposeful search and exploration How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities (1 Factor)
Wikipedia Google

CLA 4

Survey Items for *MOTIVATION*

CLA 4. Social-based learning, participation and exchange in a networked environment How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very
--

true
Activities (1 Factor)
Socializing with friends using internet tools like email, instant messenger, Facebook, MySpace. Commenting and giving feedback to others online

Survey Items for KNOWLEDGE

CLA 4. Social-based learning, participation and exchange in a networked environment How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities (1 Factor)
Myspace Email Instant Messenger

CLA 3

Survey Items for MOTIVATION

CLA 3. Publishing and effective distribution of digital media How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very true
Activities (1 Factor)
Developing a blog. Posting/publishing files you created to a Wiki.

Survey Items for KNOWLEDGE

CLA 3. Publishing and effective distribution of digital media How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities (1 Factor)
Wiki Blog

CLA 2

Survey Items for MOTIVATION

CLA 2. Project-based learning and online project management in a wiki-based networked environment How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very true
Activities (2 Sub-Factors)
<i>Factor 1: Creating with digital media</i>
Planning a digital design project. Creating a digital design project.

Creating an interactive game, from beginning to end. Computer programming (e.g., ActionScript).
<i>Factor 2: Collaboration with project team members</i>
Working with a team on a project, communicating FACE-TO-FACE. Working with a team on a project, communicating ONLINE.

Survey Items for KNOWLEDGE

CLA 2. Project-based learning and online project management in a wiki-based networked environment How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities (2 Sub-Factors)
<i>Factor 1: Creating digital media</i>
Flash software Actionscript Graphic design Digital design project Programming Animation Software
<i>Factor 2: Collaborating with team members</i>
Collaboration

CLA 1

Survey Items for MOTIVATION

CLA 1. Invention, progression, and completion of an original digital project idea (for an educational game or simulation) How true are the following statements for you, personally? 5-point scale: 1=Not at all true, 2=Not usually true, 3=Sometimes true, 4=Usually true, 5=Very true
Activities (1 Factor)
Creating the storyline for a digital design project. Thinking up ideas for a digital creative project. Thinking up ideas for an interactive game.

Survey Items for KNOWLEDGE

CLA 1. Invention, progression, and completion of an original digital project idea (for an educational game or simulation) How familiar are you with the following terms? 5-point scale: 1=None, 2=Little, 3=Some, 4=Good, 5=Full
Activities (1 Factor)
Thinking up ideas for the storyline of a game Designing an interactive game from beginning to end

References

- American Association of School Librarians. (2007). Standards for the 21st-Century Learner.* Retrieved online November, 2009 from <http://www.ala.org/ala/mgrps/divs/aasl/guidelinesandstandards/learningstandards/standards.cfm>
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2007). NETS for Students 2007. Retrieved online November, 2009 from <http://www.iste.org/standards/nets-for-students.aspx>.
- International Society for Technology in Education. (2007). NETS for Teachers 2008. Retrieved online November, 2009 from <http://www.iste.org/standards/nets-for-teachers.aspx>.
- Harel, I, & Papert, S. (1991) (Eds.). *Constructionism*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing.
- Harel Caperton, I. (2010). Toward a theory of game-media literacy: Playing and building as reading and writing. *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations*, 2(1), 2010.
- Hargittai, E. Survey measures of web-oriented digital literacy. *Social Science Computer Review*, 23(3): 371-379 (2005).
- Hobbs, R. (2010). Digital & media literacy: A plan of action. White paper issued by the Aspen Institute. Retrieved online at <http://mediaeducationlab.com/>.
- Jenkins, H. (2009). *Confronting the challenges of participatory culture: Media education for the 21st century*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Knight Commission on Information Needs of Communities in a Democracy. (2009). Informing communities: Sustaining democracy in the digital age. Commission Report, retrieved online http://www.knightfoundation.org/research_publications/detail.dot?id=355939
- Mossberger, Tolbert & McNeal (2007). *Digital Citizenship: The Internet, Society, and Participation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- National Education Technology Plan of the US Department of Education. (2010). Retrieved online <http://www.ed.gov/technology/netp-2010>
- Reynolds, R & Harel Caperton, I. (2009). Development of High School and Community College Students' Contemporary Learning Abilities in [a game design program]. Presented at the annual conference of the *American Educational Research Association*, San Diego, CA, April, 2009.
- Reynolds, R & Harel Caperton, I. (2011). Contrasts in student engagement, meaning-making, dislikes, and challenges in a discovery-based program of game design learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 59(2):267-289.
- Reynolds, R. (2011). *Children's game design learning in discovery-based contexts: Contribution of intrinsic and extrinsic motivational orientations to student outcomes*. Presented at the International Communication Association annual conference, May 2011, Boston, MA.
- Ryan, R. M., Mims, V., & Koestner, R. (1983). Relation of reward contingency and interpersonal context to intrinsic motivation: A review and test using cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 736-750.

Seely Brown, J. (2005). New Learning Environments for the 21st Century Paper presented at Forum for the Future of Higher Education, Aspen Symposium, Aspen, CO.